

	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER BELOW EXH.65 IN R.C.S. NO. 22 OF 2020</u> (Passed on this 13th day of March, 2026) Pandurang Vs. Prakash CNR No.MHLA050020952025</p>
---	--

Perused report of bailiff at Exh.64 also perused application filed by the plaintiff at Exh.65 supported by affidavit at Exh. 66.

02. The bailiff report at Exh.64 shows that, defendants No.22 and 24 were not found on the address mentioned in the plaint. It is further mentioned that, defendant No.22 had gone to Sindhdurg with their family members, whereas defendant No.24 had gone to Latur with their family members. Hence, summons and notice returned unserved.

03. After perusal of the record, it reveals that, the summons and notice could not be served on defendants No.22 and 24 in the ordinary way. As per contention in the application supported by affidavit, it becomes clear that, there is urgency to the plaintiff to proceed with the matter and defendants are avoiding service of summons and notice. Hence, I pass the following order:-

ORDER

1. The suit summons be served on defendants No.22 and 24.
 - a) by affixing a copy thereof on outer door or some other conspicuous part of the house in which said defendants ordinarily resides or carries on business or personally works for gain.
 - b) also upon some conspicuous part of the village of the defendants.

2. With, a report endorsed thereon or annexed thereto stating that, he has so affix the copy, the circumstances under which he did so, and the name and address of the person (if any) by whom the house was identified and whose present the copy was affixed.
3. the plaintiff shall publish the summons in a local newspaper having circulation in the locality where the defendants last resided.

Date :- 13/03/2026.

(R.D. Wabale)
Jt. Civil Judge Sr. Dn., Ahmedpur.