

**M.A.C.P. No.14/2024**  
**Sunita & Ors. Vs. Wasim & Ors.**  
**EXH.38**

**Deposition of Witness No.2 for the respondent No.1**

**I do hereby on solemn affirmation State**

I had produced verified copy of my driving license below list Exh.36 and request the Court to mark the same in evidence. Learned Advocate for the claimant has no objection to admit the copy of license in evidence. Hence, the copy of license filed below list Exh.36 is admitted in evidence and is marked in evidence at **Exh.40**.

**Cross examination for respondent No.3 by Mr. S.N.Gurav, Advocate**

2) I had taken education till 8<sup>th</sup> Standard. My date of birth is 01.01.1989. My statement was not recorded by police during investigation. My license or its copy was not seized by police during investigation. I had not handed over my license or its copy to police till today. It is not true to suggest that, I was not riding the motor-cycle with Wasim Shaikh as a pillion rider. It is not true to suggest that, I had falsely mentioned in my affidavit of evidence that, I was riding the motor-cycle with Wasim Shaikh has his pillion rider. It is true to suggest that, Wasim Shaikh is resident of the same locality where I reside. It is not true to suggest that, to avoid compensation liability on Wasim Shaikh I am deposing false that, I was riding the motor-cycle with him at the time of incident. It is not true to suggest that, I am deposing false in the Court only to help Wasim Shaikh since he is resident of the same locality where I reside. It is not true to suggest that, neither I was present with Wasim Shaikh at the time of incident nor was I his pillion rider.

**Cross examination for claimants by Mr. D.B.Mohate, Advocate**

3) I do not remember the date, day, month of the incident but

according to me the incident occurred in 2022. It is not true to suggest that, I am deposing false that, at the time of incident deceased Chandrakant Bhawal was coming over his motor-cycle along with two other people and were under influence of liquor. It is not true to suggest that, I am deposing false that, the incident occurred since the motor-cycle coming from opposite direction slipped over the road leading into Chandrakant Bhawal suffering injuries including to there. It is not true to suggest that, the motor-cycle driven by Wasim Shaikh was having more power and had dashed against the motor-cycle coming from opposite direction. It is not true to suggest that, the incident occurred since the motor-cycle driver by Wasim Shaikh as in high speed and was being driven rashly and negligently. It is not true to suggest that, Wasim Shaikh is responsible for the incident resulting into the death of Chandrakant Bhawal. It is not true to suggest that, at the time of incident I was not riding the motor-cycle of Wasim Shaikh but Salim Shaikh, father of Wasim Shaikh was riding the motor-cycle as his pillion rider. It is not true to suggest that, since I was not riding the motor-cycle with Wasim Shaikh, I am not aware of the spot of incident, the date, day, month or year when the incident occurred. It is not true to suggest that, today I am deposing false to help Wasim Shaikh.

Cross examination is over.

No re-examination.

R.O.& A.C./Before me

Sd/-

(P.K.Nardele)

Member, Motor Accident Claim  
Tribunal, Ahmedpur

Date : 23.06.2026