

MHLA010050672022



Sessions Case No.204/2022
State Vs. Sayyam + 3

ORDER BELOW EXH.49

1. The accused No.2 **Nihal Mehaboob Tamboli** has filed this application for bail.
2. It is contended that, the applicant-accused was enlarged on bail but he fail to attend dates as he had gone out of city for the treatment of his father suffering for analysis. Hereinafter, the applicant will attend all the date fixed by the Court.
3. Prosecution has filed reply and resisted this application.
4. Heard Ld. Adv. appearing for the accused and Ld. APP appearing for the prosecution. The accused was enlarged on bail but he committed breach of the conditions of the bail bond. So, Non-Bailable Warrant was issued against him and taken in custody in its execution. It is submitted that the applicant accused is bread winner of his family. He had gone out of station for the treatment of his father suffering from paralysis. In such circumstances, this court pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is hereby allowed.
2. The accused **Nihal Mehaboob Tamboli** be enlarged on bail on executing PB and SB of Rs. 25,000/- subject to condition to attend each of every date of this matter without fail.

(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court.)

Date:- 6th March, 2025.

(D.B.Mane),
Additional Sessions Judge-3,
Latur.