

Sessions Case.No. 88/2019
State Vs. Jogindar & Ors
Exh. No. 183

Deposition of Witness No.16 for the State.

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that:

My name is :- Yuvraj Ramgir Giri

Age :- 40 yrs. Occu. PHC B.No. 1224

R/o. Prakash Nagar, Latur.

Examination in chief by Ld. AGP Shri. S.R. Mundada

01. On 30/05/2019, I was attached to M.I.D.C. Police Station as Police Naik. On that day, at about 6.00 p.m. I was on patrolling duty in Government vehicle MH24-D-7792. At that time, I received phone call of Police Constable Madane, B.No. 1590 that, "one person is creating nuisance at India Nagar, where there is a board namely Subhadar Ramji Nagar". Accordingly, I went there with other police staff namely Birajdar and Rahul Sonkamble. I saw that one Bhavdasing Junni was creating nuisance in public place under the influence of liquor, hence we took him to the police station and report was filed under Sec. 110, 117 of the Maharashtra Police Act. Then, we handed over custody of Bhavadasing to his brother Bhamdasing, after giving notice u/Sec. 151 of the Maharashtra Police Act. Investigating officer made enquiry with me and recorded my statement.

Cross-exam. by Adv. M.B. Survase for Accused No. 1, 3, 5 to 8

02. I brought Bhavadasing to the police station in the evening time, but I cannot tell exact time. No entry was taken in

station diary in respect of bringing Bhavdasing to police station or his custody was handed over to his brother Bhamdasing. After apprehending Bhavdasing till handing over custody, I did not receive phone call of his relative or friend.

03. It is true to say that after handing over the custody of Bhavdasing, I do not know as to where Bhamdasing took him. It is true to say that after apprehending Bhavdasing from India Nagar, other police staff Birajdar and Rahul Sonkamble were with me all the time till bring him to police station. It is true to say that before our arrival to the police station, relatives of Bhavdasing i.e. his wife, mother were already present in the police station. It is true to say that though wife of Bhavdasing was present in the police station, I handed over his custody to Bhamdasing.

04. On 30/05/2019, I was present on duty from 4.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. and Rahul Sonkamble was also having similar duty hours. I do not know any Santosh Khote, but I know Mahesh Gaikwad. I did not receive phone call of either Santosh Khote or Mahesh Gaikwad during the period when Bhavdasing was in our custody.

05. I do not know whether many criminal cases came to be registered against Bhavdasing, Bhamdasing and Mahesh Gaikwad. It is true to say that after apprehending Bhavdasing, he was creating nuisance while bringing him in the police station and even after brought him in the police station and he was using abusive language during the said period. It is not true to say that today I

am deposing false with a view to help the first informant.

Cross over.

Cross-exam.by Adv. A.B. Jadhav for Accused No. 2 Harjeetsing

Declined

Cross-exam. by R.Y. More Adv. for Accused No. 4 / Jaysing Tak.

Declined

No Re.

R.O. & A. C.

Date :- 11/04/2026

(S.J. Bharuka)
Sessions Judge, Latur.