

SPL.ACB No.13/2017

State Vs. Rajani

Exh. No. 24

Further Cross examination of Witness No.2 by advocate for accused Shri. S.V.Desai for the accused - Resumed on Oath.

26. It is true that as the informant was not having handkerchief with him there was no reason to make any sign/ indication with handkerchief. I do not know that at relevant time Smt. Shobha Jadhav was the Supply Officer. I do not know from where the file which was seized in this crime came to be seized. It is true that the Hall of the office of Supply Office where we went for inspection was a big hall. It is true that Rameshwar, the friend of informant was with the informant since beginning. It is not true to say that for verification of demand the informant and his friend Rameshwar went inside the hall of the said supply office and I kept waiting near of the door of said hall. It is not true to say that, at the time of verification of demand the distance between me and the informant in the said hall was about 20-25 feet. I am now shown the panchanama Exh.46. It is true that in the said panchanama it is not mentioned that the accused demanded Rs.13,000/- from the informant. The informant decided that amount of Rs.13,000/- is to be given to accused.

27. It is not true to say that, in my examination-in-chief what I deposed that, "Thereafter, we were stated to go to

collector office. Accordingly, I myself, complainant and his friend went at that place on the motorcycle of complainant. At that time, complainant by finger showed me that she is Smt. Kawale. I myself, complainant and his friend went at the table of Smt. Kawale. At that time, complainant requested Kawale about making permit of Kerosene selling in his name. At that time, Smt. Kawale said to complainant, "prior to this, I had said to you thirty thirty". At that time, the friend of complainant said that the solution of the complainant is poor, he will not be able to pay that much money. At that time, Smt. Kawale said, its all right, give twenty-six, twenty-six. At that time, complainant said that his father is ill, he will not be able to give that much money, decrease some-what. At that time, Smt. Kawale said, "Its all right, give fifteen". At that time, complainant said that he has not that much money, have less. At that time, Smt. Kawale said, "Its all right, bring the same." " did not happen.

28. While going to the office of Collector and returning no talks took place between me, informant and his friend Rameshwar. Also no talks took place between informant and his friend Rameshwar. I do not know whether the voice recorder was checked whether it is working properly after it was put around the neck of the informant.

29. It is not true to say that what I stated in para no.7 of my examination-in-chief that, "He took out the same and

switched off its button. Darade asked me what happened at that place. At that time, I stated that Smt. Kawale demanded Rs.30,000/- for making the permit of selling kerosene in the name of complainant, after compromise it was decided to give Rs.26,000/-, out of which Rs.13,000/- were asked to bring. At that time, when complainant was asked, he also stated the same as I stated. Darade heard the conversation in the digital voice-recorder. As it was transpired from it that bribe was demanded, Darade decided to conduct trap." is false.

30. On the day when raid was carried out on accused I was present in the office of Anti-corruption office, Latur till 6.00 p.m. after raid. Witness now says that he was present there till 7.00 p.m.. Police had prepared Verification panchanama of demand of bribe in their vehicle near Abhinav College. I am now shown panchanama Exh.47. It is not clearly mentioned in that police carried printer. I do not know whether police had black cloth with them. I do not know whether a printer can work on a vehicle battery. I do not know whether print out can be taken from a printer in a vehicle. It is true that all panchanamas were prepared in the office of Anti-Corruption Bureau. I was again called to the office of Anti-Corruption Bureau on the next day. It is not true to say that on the second day police took my signatures on the panchanamas.

31. I am now shown the panchanama Exh.52. It is true

that in the said panchanama it is not mentioned that the accused read out the given sentences twice. It is true that the script mentioned in para no.12 of my examination-in-chief was prepared on 29.07.2017. It is true that script about verification of demand of bribe and the spot panchanama were prepared on 29.07.2017.

32. I do not know whether the articles found in possession of accused during her body search were checked under ultraviolet light. It is not true to say that on 28.07.2017 for the second time when we went to District Supply Office, the supply officer called the accused in her cabin. It is not true to say that when the accused went to the cabin of supply officer at that time the informant put the cash amount in the drawer of the table of accused. It is not true to say that at that time Police officer Shri.Darade came there and asked the accused to remove the cash amount from the drawer of her table and hand it over to him. I cannot tell whether the drawer of the table of the accused could be fully drawn out. I do not know whether there were other articles in the said drawer. I do not know the numbers on the currency notes which were found on the said drawer. The currency notes did not have any identification marks by which those currency notes could be identified by me today. I cannot tell as to on what basis I identified the currency notes when shown to me in Court. The Memory card was not

played before me in this Court. On the basis of the fact that the memory card was played before me at the office of Anti-corruption Bureau, I made a statement in this Court that the contents in the said memory card are correct. It is not true to say that, I made a false statement in the Court that the contents of memory card are not correct. It is not true to say that, in my presence the accused did not demand and accept any amount from informant. It is not true to say that, whatever I deposed in Court was under pressure of the Officers of Anti-corruption Bureau. It is not true to say that, what I deposed against accused is false.

Cross-examination is over.

No. Re-examination.

Date : 01.04.2026.

R. O. A. C.
Sd/-
(R. B. Bhagwat)
Additional Sessions Judge-2,
Latur.