



ORDER BELOW EXH. 43

01. learned advocate for respondent filed application for adjournment contending that respondent No.2 died before few days, so respondent unable to present before the court and give instruction to conduct the cross examination of claimant and hence advocate for respondent is unable to conduct the cross-examination. Claimant filed say and opposed the application.

02. Record indicates that, present matter is pending since 2018 and on last date matter came to be fixed as per the convenience of advocate of respondent. When matter is so much old, it is difficult to digest that respondent has not given instruction to conduct the matter. Till today no advocate takes instruction, on the very day when matter is fixed for cross-examination .

03. Time and again Hon'ble High Court has given direction to dispose of the old matters on priority basis. As present matter is pending more than 7 years, hence there is specific direction from the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the same at the earliest. Today claimant and his advocate present the court. I do not find any reason for grant of time for cross-examination. Hence application needs to be rejected. Therefore, I proceed to pass following order.

Order

- 1) Application is rejected.
- 2) As advocate for respondent failed to take cross-examination, hence there is order of 'No cross'.
- 3) Claimant is directed to proceed with the matter further.

(S. J. Bharuka)

Chairman

Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
Latur.

Date:15/12/2025