

ORDER ON EXH. 18

The respondent Nos.1 & 2 seeks permission to contest the petition by filing their written statement. According to them they were unable to file written statement within a reasonable time, the delay was unintentional due to communication gap with their advocate and time required to procure necessary documents. The respondent No.1 has affirmed facts on oath through his affidavit.

2. The claimant has opposed application and contends that the delay is intentional to prolong the matter, the reasons put forth by respondents are unjustifiable, therefore, the application needs to be rejected.

3. Heard learned advocate and perused the record. The claim is for compensation for causing the death in a vehicular accident. The claimant seek compensation from the respondents. Therefore, considering the nature of dispute and reasons put forth by respondents it is in the interest of justice to allow the respondents to contest the claim by filing the written statement. Therefore, application is allowed.

Latur

Date : 08/ 07/2020

(J. M. Dalvi),
Ex officio Member, Motor
Accident Claims Tribunal,
Latur.