

M.A.C.P.No.59/2021
Ayodhya+2 Vs.Krushna+2
CNR MHLA 010006572021

Order on Exh.17

Respondent No.3 prays for permission to file written statement on record. He submits that earlier due to technical problem he was unable to furnish written statement within stipulated period. Therefore, the applicant was directed to proceed without his written statement. Hence, he may be permitted to contest the petition by filing written statement.

2. The claimant contests the application and submits that heavy penalty be imposed upon respondent No.3 for causing delay.

3. Heard Ld.Advocates. The claimant seeks compensation from the respondents jointly and severally. Respondent No.3 is insurance company allegedly who had insured interest of the owner of the vehicle. The Ld.Advocate has put forth technical problem for causing the delay for filing of the written statement. Hence, considering the facts and circumstances the application is allowed.

Date:30-07-2022.

Jagdish M. Dalvi,
Ex-Officio Member
M.A.C.T. Latur.

