

**Deposition of Witness No. 02 for the Claimant.**

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that :-

My Name is : Sudhanva Udayrao Patki

Age. 55 years, Occupation. Insurance Surveyor

R/o Latur

**Exam.-in-chief : by Advocate Mr. S.K. Patel for Claimant.**

01. My educational qualification is Diploma in Mechanical Engineering. The competent authority issued licence to me to work as a licenced surveyor as SLA-67811. I have been working, as a surveyor since last more than 25 years. I know claimant Mr. Azeem Majeed Shaikh and he approached me to make survey, as his vehicle met with an accident. Accordingly, I carried out survey of vehicle bearing registration no. MH-44-7676, which is tipper on 03/06/2019 at AS Tipper Body Builder, Amba Sakhar Karkhana, Ambajogai and at that time photographs were taken. Accordingly, I issued report, comprising five pages. Now said report shown to me is the same. It bears my signature. The contents thereof are true and correct as per my inspection. It is marked as **Exh. 33.**

02. At the time of inspection 24 photographs were taken of the said vehicle. Now said photographs are shown to me. They are the same. Each sheet is having four photographs and hence each sheet is marked as **P-1 to P-6.** I assessed net loss of the said vehicle for an amount of Rs. 6,68,035/-. In my

report on page no. 2, there is depreciation of 35% for the metal parts and there is 50% depreciation for fiber parts. I have charged fees for the said work an amount of Rs. 9,777/- and issued receipt to that effect. Now said receipt shown to me is the same. It bears my signature. It is marked as **Exh. 34**.

**Cross-examination by Shri. A.A. Mishra Adv.for Resp.no.1**

Absent

**Cross-examination by Resp.nos. 2**

Exparte

**Cross-examination by Shri .S.G. Diwan Adv. for Respo nos. 3**

03. It is true to say that initially preliminary survey is carried out and then final survey is conducted. While carrying out preliminary survey, inspection of the external body parts of the vehicle is taken, and at that time photographs are also taken and then approximate estimate is prepared to assess the damages or expenses to repair the said vehicle. Final survey is also prepared before repairing of the vehicle, and it is approximate estimate. It is true to say that after repairing of the vehicle re-inspection is done, in which actual expenses incurred for the repair, is taken into consideration. It is true to say that there may be slight difference in final survey in the assessment amount mentioned in final survey and re-examination report, which depends upon case to case. It is true to say that in the present case, I have only carried out final survey and there is no re-inspection report. I do not know

whether said vehicle came to be repaired and what is the actual cost incurred for the said repairing. It is true to say that I carried out inspection after 20 days of the accident. It is true to say that on the day of survey, photographs were taken and personal inspection of the outer body of the vehicle was carried out, and on that basis, report came to be prepared by guess work in respect of amount. It is true to say that none of the insurance company hired my services to carry out the survey. Now, Receipt (Exh. 34) is shown to me, which indicates that, I have submitted the said receipt to I.C.I.C.I. Lombard General Insurance Company, as said vehicle was insured with the said company.

04. It is not true that I have given excessive net loss survey report with a view to get more amount of compensation.

Cross Over.

No Re.

**R.O.A.C.**

**Date: 04/08/2025**

**( S.J. Bharuka )  
Principal District Judge &  
Member, M.A.C.T. Latur.**