

ADDITIONAL ISSUES

In view of amendment in pleadings of the plaintiffs and additional W.S. of defendant No.1, following additional issues are framed:

5. Do the plaintiffs prove that suit land block No. 171 is ancestral property of defendants No. 2, 3 and themselves?
6. Do the plaintiffs prove that suit land block No. 109 is joint family property of defendants No. 2, 3 and themselves?
7. Does defendant No.1 prove that suit land block No. 109 is self acquired property of defendant No.3
8. Does defendant No.1 prove that defendants No. 2 and 3 transferred the suit lands to him out of legal necessity?
9. Whether the suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties?
10. Whether the suit is bad for non-bringing all the ancestral and joint family properties in common hotchpotch?
11. Are the plaintiffs entitled for declaration that sale deeds No.889/2014 and 890/2014 are illegal and not binding on their rights?
12. Are the plaintiffs alternatively entitled to have partition of the suit lands? If yes, what would be the respective shares of the parties?

Kale-Kherivade
Date : 17.06.2020.

(V. V. Khulape)
Civil judge, Jr. Dn.,
Kale-Kheriwade.