

MHKO190007182023



ORDER PASSED BELOW EXH.14

IN

R.C.S No.262/2023

Dagdu Mahadev Sawat Vs. Ganesh Pandurang
Kamble etc.

(Date:05/11/2024)

1. Defendants have filed the present application for setting aside No W.S order passed against them.
2. The plaintiff failed to file his say to this application. Hence, no say order passed against him.
3. Heard Ld. Advocate for defendants. Ld. Advocate for plaintiff remained absent when called out.
4. Perused the record. It appears that the plaintiff has filed present suit for the relief of declaration and perpetual injunction. The subject matter of the present suit is immovable property. The valuable right of defendants is involved in it. If the suit is proceeded without W.S of defendants, then it would be cause prejudice to their rights. Considering the above said discussion, I am of the view that No W.S order passed against defendants is to be set aside. For delay, costs of Rs.200/- is to be saddled upon defendants. In the result, I pass following order.

- ORDER -

1. The application below Exh.14 is allowed and No W. S order passed against defendants is set aside subject to costs of Rs.200/- payable to T.L.S.A,Radhanagari.
2. Parties to comply accordingly.

Date:05/11/2024

(R. D. Shinde)
Civil Judge Junior Division,
Radhanagari.