

MHKO190000872025



ORDER PASSED BELOW EXH.11

IN

R.C.S No.24/2025

Ganpati Krushna Patil Vs. Satappa Aannababu
Patil etc.

(Date:18/11/2025)

Defendant No.1 has filed the present application for setting aside No W. S and No-Say order passed against him.

2. The plaintiff has filed his say overleaf of application and strongly opposed the application.

3. Heard both the sides. Perused the record. It appears that the plaintiff has filed present suit for the relief of perpetual injunction. The subject matter of the present suit is immovable property. The valuable rights of defendant No.1 are involved in it. If the suit is proceeded without W.S and say of defendant No.1, then it would cause prejudice to his rights. Considering the above said discussion, I am of the view that No. W. S and No Say order passed against defendant No.1 is to be set aside. For delay, costs of Rs.300/- is to be saddled upon defendant No.1. In the result, I pass following order.

- ORDER -

1. The application below Exh.11 is allowed and No W.S as well as No Say order passed against defendant No.1 is set aside subject to costs of Rs.300/- payable to the plaintiff on or before next date.

2. Parties to comply accordingly.

Date:18/11/2025

(R. D. Shinde)
Civil Judge Junior Division,
Radhanagari.