

MHKO170003192020



Regular Civil Suit No.40/2020  
Mahadev Patil  
Vs.  
Jayanti Patil + Ors.

**ORDER BELOW EXH.17**

Perused the record, application and say filed. Called for the learned advocate for plaintiff and the plaintiff, but nobody appeared. Heard learned advocate for the defendant. The proposed para which is to be added is not altogether a newly discovered fact, but the plaintiff omitted to add the same while filing suit. No document of the concerned bank is kept on record in spite of direction given by my learned predecessor. Nothing on record shows as to why the proposed amendment is to be allowed and how the concerned bank is to be made a necessary party. Proposed party is not found to be a necessary party at this stage as no cause of action is seen to lie against it. Hence, present application is rejected. No order as to costs. Parties to proceed.

Date: 20-06-2022

(K.J.Khomane)  
Civil Judge Junior Division, Panhala