

R. C. S. No. 84/2022.
Jotiba & Ors. Vs. Shivaji & Ors.
MHKO150004732022

ORDER BELOW EXH- 38.

Perused application and say. Heard counsel for both sides while filing an application and say.

2. Defendant no.1 filed this application setting aside no say order passed below Exh.25. The reason assign by the defendant no.1 is not justifiable. This is suit for perpetual injunction. An application at Exh.25 is for temporary injunction. Initially application filed by plaintiff with suit below Exh.25 is not pressed. For deciding application on merit say of defendants would be on record. No prejudice caused to plaintiff, if application allowed. Further, plaintiff not strongly opposed the same. Hence, this court pass following order,

ORDER

1. Application stands allowed, no order to cost.
2. No say order passed below Exh.25 stand vacated and say of defendant no.1 accepted on record at Exh.39.
3. Parties to take note.

Place- Ajara.
Date- 11.06.2026.

(R. P Thore)
J.O.Code No.MH02897
Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Ajara.