

MHKO130011362012

RCS NO. 332/2012



Raghunath Mhadu Kokane-Jadhav

Vs.

Nivruti Babu Kokane-Jadhav

ORDER BELOW EXH. 75.

Perused the application & heard the learned advocate for the plaintiff. In spite of sufficient time and opportunity has been given to the defendant, they failed to file their say and also argument on the present application. Hence, the present application is proceeded without say and argument of the defendant. More importantly, vide the present application for proving the tonnage receipts and sugarcane registration receipts, plaintiff wants to examine the concerned officer of the concerned sugar factory. Considering the nature of suit, relief sought and reasons mentioned in the application the application is deserved to be allowed. Hence, the application is allowed & issue witness summons as prayed in the application.

Kagal.

Date:- 07/01/2026

(**Pranita S. Patil**)

Jt. Civil Judge J.D. Kagal.