



Satish Lohar Vs. Sushila Lohar etc.

CNR - MHKO130010192019

ORDER BELOW EXH. 37 IN R.C.S.No. 144/2019

1. This application is filed by defendant no. 2 to setting aside no w.s. order passed against him.
2. It is submitted on behalf of learned advocate of the defendant no. 2 that, due to pandemic situation client could not approach to their advocate. Hence, no w.s. order passed against him. According to learned advocate of defendant no. 2, there is no intentional delay to file w.s. Hence, prayed to set aside no w.s. order with permission to file w.s.
3. Say not filed by the plaintiff on this application.
4. I have perused the record. Heard learned advocate for the defendant no. 2. Learned advocate for the plaintiff is absent on call. Considering nature of suit, reasons mentioned in the application and delay caused, equal opportunity is required to be granted to the defendant. The procedural laws are hand made of justice. Further, the w.s. of defendant no. 2 would help the court to access the justice. Thus, it is just and proper to set aside no w.s. order passed against defendant no. 2. No order as to costs.

Kagal
Date:- 27/07/2022

(B.D.Gore)
Civil Judge Junior Division,
Kagal.