


<p><b><u>MHKO130009022023</u></b></p> 	<p><b><u>ORDER BELOW EXH. No. 35 IN PWD.VA. NO. 24/2023.</u></b></p> <p><b>Priyanka Ravindra Nikam Vs. Ravindra Amruta Nikam Etc.01</b></p>
---	---

01. Perused the application & say filed by the opponent. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant & opponent respectively. Vide the present application, applicant prayed for arrest warrant against the opponent for recovery of the maintenance amount of Rs. 1,00,000/-.

02. Vide the say to the present application opponent has nowhere disputed about the maintenance amount claimed by the applicant and the arrears of the said amount. Moreover, on perusal of the record it appears that vide order below Exh.33 distress warrant has been issued against the opponent for the recovery of the arrears of the maintenance of Rs. 1,00,000/- only. However, the report of the said warrant reveals that the said warrant is not executed as the no movable property owned by the opponent. Considering all these aspects & arrears of maintenance of amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- only, in the interest of justice, issue arrest warrant against the opponent for recovery of the arrears of the maintenance of Rs. 1,00,000/- accordingly.

**Kagal.**  
**Date: 21/04/2026.**

**(Smt. Pranita S. Patil)**  
**Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kagal.**