

MHKO130008882019	R.C.C. No. 110/2019
	<u>Sou. Sanjivani Shivram Bhivungade Vs. Shri. Bajirao Ramchandra Talekar.</u>

ORDER BELOW EXH. 01

When called out repeatedly neither complainant nor learned advocate is present. Learned advocate for the accused submitted that since many years matter is pending for evidence before charge. Complainant is not interested to proceed with the complaint as the complainant has not any evidence against the accused. Record and roznama also reveals that since many dates complainant and ld. Advocate are not proceeded the matter by adducing her evidence before charge. It appears that, the complainant is not interested about conducting the matter. Complainant presence is indispensable in order to proceed further with the case. In such circumstances keeping the present case pending would lead into dead pendency and unnecessary burden on the Judicial System. No purpose would be served by keeping the case pending. Hence, the case is liable to be dismissed for want of prosecution. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

1. The case is kept for necessary order of dismissal.
2. The complainant to take note.

Kagal.
Date: 28/04/2026

(Smt. Pranita Suhas Patil)
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Kagal.