

	<u>ORDER BELOW EXH. 21 IN R.C.S. No.</u> <u>39/2026</u> <u>Pai Piraso Hasan Nayakvadi (Decesed)</u> <u>Vs. Mirasab Babu Nayakvadi etc.03</u>
---	--

Perused the application and oral submission of the learned advocate for the plaintiff. Heard both the sides. The learned advocate for the plaintiff orally submitted that there is urgency. Hence, he prayed for order regarding the Status-quo with respect to the suit property. However, the learned advocate for the defendant submitted the adjournment application for filing their say to the application of Status-quo which is submitted by the plaintiff. Furthermore, learned advocate for the defendant orally submitted that till next date defendants are not obstructing or transferring, incumberring over the suit property. However, the plaintiffs are encroached on the property of the defendant. Furthermore, it appears that, the present application of Status-quo is filed on record today around 15.12.21 hours and the present application is filed around 04.35 p.m. Considering all these aspects, urgency put-forth by the plaintiff, time is granted to the defendants for filing their say to Status-quo application subject to short date.

Kagal.
Date: 08/04/2026.

(P. S. Patil)
Jt. Civil Judge Jr. Division Kagal.