

MHKO130001872020	S.C.C. No. 83/2020
	<u>Dhiraj Vilyam Raut Vs. Hanuman Gramin Bigar Sheti Sahakari Patsanstha Marya. Karnur, Tal. Kagal th. Chairman Jaysing Rajaram Ghatage etc.01.</u>

ORDER BELOW EXH. 01

When called out repeatedly complainant is absent. Record and roznama also reveals that since many dates complainant and ld. Advocate are absent and not proceeded the matter. It appears that, the complainant is not interested about conducting the matter. Complainant presence is indispensable in order to proceed further with the case. In such circumstances keeping the present case pending would lead into dead pendency and unnecessary burden on the Judicial System. No purpose would be served by keeping the case pending. Hence, the case is liable to be dismissed for want of prosecution. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

1. The case is kept for necessary order of dismissal.
2. The complainant to take note.

Kagal.
Date: 05/12/2025

(Smt. Pranita S. Patil)
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Kagal.