



Reg. Civil Suit No.178/2014
(CNR No.MHKO110006132014)

Order below Exh.30

1. The plaintiff has filed this application for directing the defendant No.1 to produce the registered Deed No.5622/2000. The Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submitted that, the said document is important piece of evidence, hence it needs to be brought on record. The said document is in the custody of defendant No.1. Hence he prayed to give direction to the defendant No.1 for production of the said registered Deed before the Court.

2. On the other hand, the defendant No.1 has filed his say at **Exh.32** and stated that, under which Section the said application is filed is not mentioned by the plaintiff. The defendant will produce necessary documents at the time of his evidence. Hence he prayed to reject the application.

3. Later on the defendant No.1 has again filed his say below Exh.30 and submitted that, the alleged registered Deed is already filed in R.C.C.No.121/2012. Therefore he shown his inability to produce it on record.

4. Read application and say. Heard. Perused record and documents. On perusal it reveals that, the alleged registered Deed No.5622/2000 is filed in R.C.C.No.121/2012. In such circumstances, it cannot be produce from the custody of defendant No.1. Hence I pass the following order -

ORDER

The application is hereby rejected.

Peth-Vadgaon
Date : 15/02/2021

(J. S. Gaikwad)
Civil Judge Jr.Dn., Peth-Vadgaon

I affirm that, the contents of the P.D.F. file order are same,
word to word, as per the original order.

Name of the Stenographer	Sou.K.S.Gaikwad
Name of the Court	J.S.Gaikwad, Civil Judge Junior Division, Peth-Vadgaon
Date of Dictation	15/02/2021
Order signed by the P.O. on	15/02/2021
Order uploaded on	15/02/2021