

ORDER BELOW EXH 28

On the previous date, this Court passed a status quo order after considering the facts presented. It was observed that any delay would defeat the purpose of the suit, and if the parties were not directed to maintain the status quo regarding the suit property, the purpose of filing the suit would be frustrated. Importantly, the parties were not merely directed to maintain a general status quo but were specifically instructed to maintain the status quo as depicted in the photograph submitted by the parties.

Today, the plaintiff has filed an application seeking an extension of the protection granted by the previous status quo order. Defendant No. 12 has objected to this application, arguing that the plaintiff might disturb the defendant's possession or remove the fencing under the guise of the status quo order.

After due consideration, this Court finds that the status quo order was passed after weighing the pros and cons. It is also noted that some of the defendants are yet to be served with summons. The status quo order is not a blanket order but specifically requires the parties to maintain the status quo concerning the suit property as shown in the photograph submitted. Therefore, the apprehension raised by Defendant No. 12, that their possession may be disturbed, is unfounded. Accordingly, the status quo order is hereby extended until the next date.

(Narendra S. Puri)

Date :18.09.2024. Civil Judge Senior Division., Gadhinglaj .