

MHKO090004652009

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 IN L.A.R.NO. 162/2009**

1. From perusal of the roznama, it reveals that on 30.03.2021, my Ld. Predecessor had heard arguments of the parties and fixed the matter for judgment. However, it appears that despite fixing the matter for judgment, the judgment was not delivered and unfortunately, the presiding officer was transferred in June 2021. Thus, it appears that though arguments were heard, the matter was not disposed of.
2. Consequently, it is necessary to fix the matter again for arguments, particularly because the arguments were not advanced before me.
3. Further it appears that the parties are not making arguments though it is an old case. Even roznama of the case shows that on certain dates, the parties were absent and did not even file an application for adjournment. Therefore, both the parties are directed to argue the matter as early as possible.

Date : 26.09.2022

(G. V. Deshpande)
Civil Judge Senior Division,
Gadhinglaj