

PWDVA No.69/2025

**IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE JMFC JAISINGPUR
AT : JAISINGPUR**

BETWEEN:

1. Mrs. Prerana @ Sanika Sushant Chougule
And another

...Petitioner

AND

1. Sushant Namdev Chougule
And others

....Respondent

Order Below Exh.12/D
Order - filed

J.M.F.C.,
Jaysingpur

OBJECTIONS TO INTERIM APPLICATION

Herein the objections submitted on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 4 to the interim application filed Under Section 23 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence act, 2005 is as under:-

- 1] The petition filed by the petitioner is false, frivolous, vexatious, defamatory and the same is untenable in the eye of law.
- 2] The averments made out in the interim application petition which are contrary to the averments made herein below are emphatically denied by the respondent and the petitioner be put to strict proof of the same.

Chougule



No.1 wa
respo
t

3] That the contents of interim application para No.1 that, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.1 are wife and husband, and their marriage was solemnized on 07/05/2023 at Om Mangal Karyalaya, Aarag, Taluka Miraj, according to hindu religious rites and customs are true. However, the other contentions of the same para that, the wedding expenses were borne by the father of petitioner No.1 and respondent Nos.1 to 3 together, despite having no financial capacity, the father of the petitioner gave household items, utensils, a cot, a cupboard, and other such items for the marriage. additionally, as per custom, the father of petitioner No.1 and the respondent jointly provided a gold mangalsutra weighing half a tola to petitioner No.1 are totally false and vexatious are hereby denied by the respondents and the petitioner be put to strict proof of the same.


5] That the contents of interim application para No.2 that, the petitioner No.2 is the son of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.1. and respondent No.2 is the father-in-law of petitioner No.1, and respondent No.3 is the mother-in-law of petitioner No.1, respondent No.4 is the sister-in-law (husband's sister) of petitioner No.1. respondent No.4 was married one year after the marriage of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.1 are true.

6] That the contents of interim application para No.3 that, after the marriage, petitioner No.1 came to the respondent's house to cohabit, and as per custom, after staying for the first five days, she went to her maternal home. Later, at an auspicious time, petitioner

Deegula
13




No.1 went to the respondents' house to cohabit. at that time, respondents No.1 to 4 were living at the respondents' house. for the first few days, the respondents made petitioner No.1 settle in. Later, using the pretext that "proper respect/hospitality was not given at the wedding," the respondents started taunting petitioner No.1 and speaking ill of the people from petitioner No.1's maternal family, despite their financial constraints, petitioner No.1's father had given all necessary household items and provided proper respect at the wedding. However, the respondents started harassing petitioner No.1, harboring unreasonable expectations from her maternal side. The respondents began actions such as not giving food to petitioner No.1, not bringing groceries for cooking, etc. due to the respondents' behavior, she began to suffer severe mental agony. Consequently, she fell ill, even during her illness, the respondents did not provide any treatment to her, Therefore, she called her maternal home and asked her father to come. accordingly, her father took her to her maternal home, saying that he would take her for 8 days for treatment; he brought her to her maternal home and got her treated. during this period, the respondent No.1 did not make any inquiries about petitioner No.1. Later, after 15 days, the people from petitioner No.1 's maternal home requested the respondent to take her back to her matrimonial home. and, the respondent started avoiding taking her back, eventually, with the intervention of mediators, she went to the respondent's house to live are totally



docto.
fac.

false and vexatious are hereby denied by the respondents and the petitioner No.1 be put to strict proof of the same.


7] That the contents of interim application para No.3 That , while petitioner No.1 was living at respondent's house there, the respondents started deliberately assigning her heavy tasks, making her do all the household chores, and acting in such a manner intentionally, and due to this, she fell ill again, and at that time, the respondents did not took her to the hospital, and when her father learned of this, he went to the respondent's house, at that time, noticing that she was severely ill, her father brought her to her maternal home with the respondent's permission for treatment and rest, and accordingly, petitioner No.1's father also provided treatment to her, and after few days, when she recovered, her father requested the respondent to take her back, and the respondent, started avoiding it again, and petitioner No.1 stayed at her maternal home for nearly 5 months, and during this period, the respondent did not make any inquiries about her. And Later, the marriage of respondent No.4 was fixed, Fearing that the guests might inquire about petitioner No.1 and that discussions would take place, the respondents took petitioner No.1 with him to live at the matrimonial home. after that, for some days, the respondent somehow managed to live with petitioner No.1. subsequently, petitioner No.1 became pregnant. during the period of pregnancy, her physical condition became delicate, and in the meantime, since she started suffering from piles, it became necessary for her to take rest, Therefore, the


15




doctors advised her to take complete rest, as there were no facilities for the treatment of piles in the respondent's village, the father of petitioner No. 1 brought her to her maternal home and bore the expenses for the pile's operation and other incidental expenses at his own cost, and during that period, the respondent did not even went to see petitioner No.1, nor did he made any inquiries. Later, petitioner No. 1 gave birth to a son. The entire expenses for the childbirth were borne by the father of petitioner No. 1. even after the childbirth, the respondent did not went to see petitioner No. 1 despite being informed, and Later, the naming ceremony (Barshi) of respondent No. 2 took place. at that time, the respondent went to the maternal home for the naming ceremony, thereafter, five months later, petitioner No. 1 went to the respondent's house to live. However, two months later, when petitioner No. 1's menstrual cycle was delayed, the respondent forced petitioner No. 1 to take tablets. due to this, petitioner No.1 started having severe stomach pain, so Petitioner No.1 came to her maternal home and, treatment was taken from Dr. A. S. Patil, Jaysingpur, the entire expense of Rs. 40,000/- was borne by the father of petitioner No.1, the father of petitioner No.1 had borrowed money on interest from others to pay for said expense, are totally false and vexatious are hereby denied by the respondents and the petitioner be put to strict proof of the same.

8] That the contents of interim application para No.5 That, petitioner No.1 stayed at her maternal home for 3 months, and


16




during that time, the respondents did not make any inquiries or check on the petitioner. Later, through mediation, the father of petitioner No. 1 sent her back to her matrimonial home. accordingly, petitioner No.1 began living with respondent No.1, during this period, respondent No.1 to 3 began demanding the gold ganthan (necklace) weighing two and a half tolas that petitioner No.1 had received during her wedding. However, as petitioner No.1 refused to give or break/sell said ganthan, respondent No.1 himself took it from petitioner No.1's cupboard, Conversely, they started demanding the ganthan again from petitioner No.1. due to this, petitioner No.1 suffered extreme mental distress. because of this, respondents No. 1 to 3 began harassing petitioner No.1. respondent No. 4 also, in this regard, provoked respondents No.1 to 3, and respondent No. 1 began using language like, "only after you bring the ganthan from your maternal home will you be allowed to live properly" and Later, after a dispute occurred between respondents No.1 to 3, Respondent No.1 deliberately staged a suicide drama by pretending to drink insecticide, all these actions were taken to put undue pressure on petitioner No.1, and respondent No.2 has used foul, abusive, and derogatory language towards Petitioner No. 1, saying things like "I will see you," and the like, at that time, due to a dispute, mediators called petitioner No.1's father and handed over petitioner No.1 and 2 to petitioner No.1's father. therefore, petitioner No.1, along with petitioner No.2, is helplessly living at her maternal home are totally false and vexatious are hereby


-17



denied by the respondents and the petitioner be put to strict proof of the same.

9] That the contents of interim application para No.6 that, since the time petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 came to their maternal home, the respondent has not made any inquiries about the petitioners, when petitioner No. 1 tried to return to live with him through mediators four days ago, respondent No.1 used language stating that he would not live with her unless she brought a sonyache ganthan (gold necklace), and there is no longer any assurance that the respondent will take proper care of petitioner No. 1, and petitioner No. 1 is somehow surviving along with petitioner No. 2 on the support of her maternal home, the financial condition of petitioner No. 1's maternal home is extremely poor, and on the other hand, the respondent's financial condition is excellent, and respondent No.1 runs a shop selling agricultural pesticides and fertilizers in Lingnur, taluka Miraj, from that, he receives a monthly net income of Rs.2,00,000/-(in words, Rs.Two Lakhs only), and the respondent has three and a half acres of irrigated land, of which one and a half acres is a grape orchard, and he cultivates crops like sugarcane, soybean, etc., in the remaining area, from that, he receives an annual income of Rs. 6,00,000/- (in words, Rs. Six Lakhs only), and the respondent is living a luxurious life, while the applicants are in a miserable, therefore, the applicant is compelled to file this application are totally false and vexatious


18




are hereby denied by the respondents and the petitioner be put to strict proof of the same.

10] Further, the respondents No.1 to 4 respectfully submit that, all the marriage expenses were borne by the respondents and nothing were given by the petitioners family at the time of marriage. At no point of time the parents of the petitioners have ever given any gold or silver ornaments or any articles to the respondent.

11] That, at the time of marriage the respondents family have given 3 tolas of gold mangalsutra and other ornaments of 1 tola to the petitioner No.1. the respondents have treated the petitioner No.1 with utmost love and care and never harassed her for any reason. right from the inception of the marriage the petitioner was in a habit of leaving the conjugal home and going to her parental house every now and then without informing the respondent and his parents and used to reside for days together. The respondent used to co-operate with the petitioner with a consign hope that she would improve and stop her activities after conceiving a child, however the petitioner continued the same and even started picking up quarrels with the respondent and his parents without any cause or reason. Due to the activities of the petitioner the life of respondent started becoming miserable having a bad repercussion on his worklife and mental health.


12] It is further submitted that the respondent used to tolerate behavior of petitioner towards him and his parents looking to the son namely Sidharth, however the activities of the petitioner were



not curtailed and on the contrary the petitioner No.1 became more adamant and started to take money and other valuables from the house of the respondent without even informing him. It is further submitted that the petitioner No.1 was not even caring about the children. The respondent for the purpose of his work used to go outside the village and during that tenure the parents of the respondent No.1 were not taken care by the petitioner she don't even cared about their food and clothing.

13] The respondent No.1 submits that due to the mental harassment given by the petitioner No.1 the respondent became totally dependent on his parents for his livelihood due to the activities of the petitioner No.1. and the petitioner No.1 instead of stopping her unwanted behavior started picking up quarrels with the respondent No.1 and started taunting him on the pretext that he was with no avocation and that she did not want to lead married life with a person who was not in a position to give her luxuries in life.

14] The petitioner No.1 thereafter in the month of August 2025 when the respondent and his parents were outside the village has abandoned the conjugal home of the respondent and gone away to her parental house at Danoli, Shirol Taluk of Kolhapur District and took all the gold ornaments along with her. When the petitioner No.1 on her own accord left the conjugal home, the respondent tried to persuade her to return back to his house, however the petitioner No.1 was reluctant to do so. The


20/8




respondents are now surprised to know that the petitioner has filed the present case by misrepresenting the material facts and making reckless allegations against them without any substance.

15] It is most respectfully submitted that the respondent due to the harassment given by the petitioner is without any avocation at present and he has to leave at the mercy of his parents and other relatives. It is further submitted that the respondent has developed a mental depression due to the harassment of the petitioner which has made his life miserable and worst and has also tried to end his life by consuming poison.

16] It is submitted that the petitioner no.1 has pledged the gold ganthan in one of the banks at Kurundwad village. and she has left her conjugal home on her own accord without any proxible cause or reason and hence she is not entitled to any relief at the hands of this Hon'ble court.

17] It is further submitted that, the petitioner No.1 has taken forceful custody of the minor children Sidharth which has deprived the respondent No.1 of natural love and affection of his children and the respondent apprehends that the future of his minor children is not safe in the hands of the petitioner who is only interested in draining money from the respondent.

18] The petitioner has falsely averred in the interim application that the respondent is earning lakhs together, with a sole intention


21

to claim exorbitant amount under the guise of maintenance without there being any basis.


19] Without prejudice to the contentions raised herein the respondent is ready to take back the petitioner and his minor children so as to secure them a good and prosperous future.

20] It is most respectfully submitted that, the interim application is devoid of any merits which deserves to be dismissed with cost.

For these among other grounds that will be urged at the time of hearing it is most humbly prayed that, the interim application may kindly be dismissed with cost and compensatory cost in the interest of justice.

Place : Jaisingpur
Date:17-07-2026


Respondent No.1 for himself
and Respondents No.2 to 4


22

PWDVA No.69/2025

**IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE JMFC JAISINGPUR
AT : JAISINGPUR**

BETWEEN:

1. Mrs. Prerana @ Sanika Sushant Chougule
And another

...Petitioners

AND

1. Sushant Namdev Chougule
And others

....Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

Herein I Shri Sushant Namdev Chougule Age:32 Occ:
Agriculture R/o: Near Shivaji Nagar School Arag road mangasuli
Tal : Kagwad Dist: Belagavi today at Kagwad do hereby state on
solemn affirmation and swear this affidavit for myself and
respondents No.2 to 4 as following.

1. That, I am the respondent No.1 in the top noted petition.
2. That, the petitioner no.1 has filed the top noted case against
me and respondents No.2 to 4.
3. That, I know the facts and circumstances of the case, therefore
I am competent to swear this affidavit for myself and
respondents No.2 to 4.
4. That, the contents of the above para No.1 to 20 are true and
correct to the best of my knowledge belief and information.

Sushant Chougule 23



Place : Jaisingpur
Date:17-07-2026

Deponent
Deponent

A.R. Kamble
A.R. Kamble
Advocate, Kagwad
I Know the Deponent

' Sworn Before Me "

B.A. Gane
Shri. B.A. GANE
BA.,LL.B.,(Spl.)
Advocate & Notary, Kagwar

