

MHKO070025212024 ORDER BELOW EXH.20 IN Sp.C.S. NO.98/2024.



Hussain M. Pendhari.

Vs.

Jaysingpur College, Jaysingpur and others.

The defendant Nos.16, 17 and 19 have filed the present application for condonation of delay to file written statement on record. The plaintiff has filed his say and objected the application.

2. Perused the application, say and record. Heard both sides. On perusal of record, it appears that on 13.02.2025 the defendant Nos.16, 17 and 19 have appeared before the Court. However, they have not filed their written statement within stipulated period. Now it is alleged by the present defendants that, the certified copies of the documents remained to be taken from the criminal Court and therefore, they could not file their written statement within prescribed time. There is no deliberate intention to file written statement on record. It appears that the application of the present defendants is supported by the affidavit. Therefore, it appears that the reason mentioned by the present defendants in their application to file written statement is sufficient. Moreover, it appears that the plaintiff filed present suit for getting compensation. Therefore, the important rights of the parties are involved in the present suit. Therefore, it is just and proper to give an opportunity to the present defendants to file their written statement by imposing costs. In the result, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.20) is allowed and delay is condoned to file written statement subject to cost of Rs.500/- (Five hundred only) to be paid to the plaintiff.

2. The written statement of the defendant No.16, 17 and 19 be taken on record after depositing the cost.

Jaysingpur.
Date : 24.03.2026.

(P. A. Patil)
Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division,
Jaysingpur.