



Shubhangi R. Shinde.  
Vs.  
Sulochana S. Gadkari and anr.,

The defendant No.4 has filed the present application for condonation of delay to file written statement on record. The plaintiff has filed her say and objected the application.

2. Perused the application, say and record. Heard both sides. On perusal of record, it appears that the defendant No.4 is appeared on 30.09.2025. However, she has not filed her written statement within the stipulated period. Now, it is alleged by the defendant No.4 that due to family difficulties and residing far away from Jaysingpur, she could not file her written statement within prescribed time. It appears that the application of the present defendant is supported by affidavit. Therefore, it appears that the reason mentioned by the defendant No.4 in her application for condonation of delay to file written statement is sufficient. Moreover, it appears that the plaintiff has filed the present suit for specific performance of contract and permanent injunction. Therefore, the important rights of the parties are involved in the present suit. Therefore, it is just and proper to give an opportunity to the defendant No.4 to file her written statement. In the result, I pass following order.

**ORDER**

1. Application (Exh.28) is allowed and delay to file written statement is condoned subject to cost of Rs.300/- (Three hundred only) to be paid to the plaintiff.
2. After depositing the cost, the written statement of the defendant No.4 be taken on record.

Jaysingpur.  
Date : 20.01.2026.

(P. A. Patil)  
Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division,  
Jaysingpur.