


|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>MHKO070006482022</b><br> | <b><u>ORDER BELOW EXH.66 IN SPECIAL CIVIL<br/>SUIT NO. 27/2022.</u></b><br>National Dying and Bleaching Works through<br>Shri. Nitin Ved and anr.,<br>Vs.<br>Grampanchayat Udgaon and anr., |
|--|---|

The plaintiff has filed the present application for issuing direction to the defendants to produce documents. The defendant No.1 has filed its say and objected the application.

2. Perused the application, say and record. Heard both sides. According to the plaintiff, it had given letters dtd.30.03.2005 and dtd.11.04.2017 to the defendant No.1. The defendant No.1 had accepted the said letters by making entry in its inward/outward register. The plaintiff has filed the copies of said documents on record. However, according to it, the original documents are required to refer the same in their evidence. Hence, it has filed the present application. On the other hand, the defendant No.1 has alleged that it had destroyed the letters dtd.30.03.2005 and dtd.11.04.2017 as the said documents were more than five years old. Moreover, the inward/outward registers are required for their day today official works. Therefore, the original documents cannot be produced in the Court. The defendant No.1 has further alleged that the true copies of the said documents are already given to the plaintiff. Hence, the defendant No.1 has prayed to reject the application.

3. In the say (Exh.69), the defendant No.1 has alleged that the original letters of the plaintiff dtd.30.03.2005 and dtd.11.04.2017 are already destroyed by them. In such circumstances, the defendant No.1 cannot be directed to produce the original letters. Moreover, the inward/outward registers are necessary for the day today official work of the defendant No.1. The certified copies of the said register can be

produced in evidence. Therefore, it is not necessary to produce the original inward/outward register before the Court. Hence, it is not legal and proper to direct the defendant No.1 to produce the original registers. Hence, the application is liable to be rejected. In the result, I pass following order.

**ORDER**

The application (Exh.66) is rejected.

Date : 05.11.2025.

**( P. A. Patil )**  
Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division,  
Jaysingpur.