

MHKO070002932026



Civil M.A.NO.16/2026

Meena Shivaji Surve Through POA Reshma
Suryakant Gaikwad Vs Mohan Shankar Kamble

ORDER BELOW EXH.16

- 1} This is an application filed by the applicant for production of documents. The Ld. advocate for the respondent by filing his say at Exh.18 and strongly objected the present application.
- 2} Perused the application and say. Perused the record. Heard.
- 3} In the present matter the Ld. advocates for both parties had been completed their oral arguments on the application at Exh.5. The matter was posted for the order at Exh.5. However, the applicant has filed the present application.
- 4} The Ld. advocate for the applicant submitted that, the documents are necessary to decide the application at Exh.5 on merit. On the other hand the Ld. advocate for the respondent submitted that, despite having the knowledge of said documents the applicant intentionally did not produce the said documents at the time of argument. Therefore, he prayed for rejection of application.
- 5} After perusing the said documents it appears that, they are related to the dispute between the parties. Therefore, the present documents appears to be relevant to the present matter. If the production is allowed, it will no cause any prejudice to the

respondent as liberty is granted to the respondent to address argument in respect of these documents. Hence I pass following order-

ORDER

1. Application at Exh.16 is hereby allowed.
2. The production of documents allowed.
3. Both parties are granted liberty to argue on the said documents.

Jaysingpur

Date- 11/03/2026

(M.S.Patil)
2nd Jt. Civil Judge Jr. Division,
Jaysingpur