

MHKO050061842023



ORDER BELOW EXH. 74 IN SPL.DARKHAST NO. 32/2023

(Rukhsar Husen Mujawar V/s. A.G. Traders Kabnoor & Ors.)

Heard both the sides. Perused the application and say.

2. According to the third party applicant he has filed writ petition before Hon'ble Bombay High Court and challenged the order passed by this court on application at Exh.33. The writ petition is pending for the reliefs prayed by him in prayer clause para 17 of the writ petition.

3. The Decree Holder has filed temporary injunction application against third party applicant. He prayed that third party applicant is making construction in the suit property without any due permission from the concern authority. The third party applicant is trying to change the nature of disputed property.

4. Today the third party applicant filed one application and prayed adjournment for filing say to the application at Exh. 71. The decree holder has resisted the application for granting adjournment to file say on application at Exh. 71. He submitted that, the third party applicant is taking adjournment to file say and simultaneously making construction illegally. On the other hand third party applicant has submitted that he has not making any construction in the disputed property. Learned Advocate for the third party applicant also made

statement at bar that the third party applicant will not make any construction in the disputed property in filing the say on Exh. 71. In such circumstances, it is necessary to preserve the status of disputed property till hearing of application below Exh. 71. Hence, I pass following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Application is allowed.
- 2) Both the parties are directed to maintain status-quo till further order.

Ichalkaranji
Date :-28.07.2025

(G. M. Nadaf)
Jt. Civil Judge, Sr.Dn., Ichalkaranji.