



Order passed below Exh.No.30.

Regard must be had to the legal maxim *interest reipublicoe ut sit finis litium* (it concerns the State that there be an end to law suits) and, “*nemo debet bis vexari pro una et eadem cause* (no man should be vexed twice over for the same cause). If anybody is aggrieved or dis-satisfied by the judicial order, he has every right to take an exception by filing proceedings before the Hon’ble Appellate Court. Mr.Patil, Adv. representing the Deft.No/s.1 and 2 fairly states, its not a Review Appln.. No one can be vexed twicely. Already in the month of January 2023 my Ld. Predecessor decided an Appln. Exh.No.5. It’s not *ad interim ex parte* temporary injunction. We need to maintain judicial discipline. Scope of this Court is limited. If aggrieved, remedy lies else where. Appln. cannot be entertained. Hence, rejected.

Date 04/07/2024.

2nd Jt.CJJD, Ichalkaranji.