

MHKO050012482024



ORDER BELOW EXH.23 IN
REGULAR CIVIL SUIT NO.106/2024
(Passed on 27. 08. 2024)

Perused the application. Heard learned counsel for plaintiff.

02. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted that defendant nos.1 and 2 i.e. the Collector and Superintendent of Land and Record are going to execute the decree passed in RCS No.287/1991. It is further submitted that the execution of the said decree would affect the rights of the plaintiff. As such, it is prayed to grant the order of status-quo for maintaining status of the suit property as it is till the appearance of defendants in the present suit.

03. It is pertinent to note that matter is not on today's board. Moreover, several defendants are yet to be served in the present matter. Moreover, grant of status-quo would affect the rights of parties in whose favour the decree in RCS No.287/1991 was passed. In these circumstances, it would not be just and proper to grant the status-quo without hearing of all the defendants. Moreover, plaintiff is having right and opportunity to approach before the appropriate forum for redressal of their grievance in respect of execution of decree passed in RCS No.287/1991. In these circumstances, I am not inclined to grant

the order of status-quo as prayed. Hence, I pass the following order :

ORDER

- 1) Application at Exhibit No.23 is hereby rejected.

Ichalkaranji

Date :- 27. 08. 2024

(Hitendra Urmila Anilkumar Wani)

Civil Judge Senior Division, Ichalkaranji