

MHKO050010682016 	Order below Exh.79 in RCC No.8/2016.	State of Maharashtra Vs. Shashikant Chandrakant Chavan & others.
---	---	---

1] This is the application filed by accused No.3 Shri. Sanjay Pandurang Chavan for renewal of the passport.

2] Accused No.3 submitted that, present case is pending against accused since 2016 and the evidence of prosecution has yet not started. Due to the absency of prosecution witnesses the trial can not be took proper gear. The present accused is a teacher by profession. He has roots in the so- ciety having movable and immovable's on hisaccord. For the study purpose and for tourism the applicant want to visit the various countries. Due to the pendency of this crime some hindrance will possibly come on his way to get passport. Passport authority informed accused and insisted accused to bring no objections/ order permitting issuance of passport. Hence, he requested to renew his passport.

3] Say of the APP called. Ld. APP submitted that applicant facing trial for serious offences. Applicant has not given his proposed foreign tour. If application allowed he may commits similar offence. If permitted it may caused delay to proceeding.

4] Perused the application. Heard Ld. APP and Advocate for the accused no.3. The chargesheet is filed against accused under section 420, 419, 465, 467, 468 r.w.34 of IPC. The evidence of the prosecution is yet to be started. Considering the case and offenses leveled against the accused, it

is just and proper to grant the permission to renew the passport of the accused. Mere pending criminal case is not ground to reject permission to renew passport. Hence, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. Passport authority is directed to issue the passport to accused No.3 Shri. Sanjay Pandurang Chavan.

Date.02.07.2026. (D.B.Sathe)
JMFC, Court No.2, Ichalkaranji.