

Shri.Vinod Ramchandra Jadhav Vs. Shri. Sanjay Vishnu Patil & Ors.

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO.1.

(Date 28/11/2017)

1. On perusal of the record, it appears that it is injury claim filed by the petitioner in the year 2009. As the petitioner was persistently absent, even before this court on transfer on 19.06.2017. The petitioner sought adjournment for evidence, which was granted vide Exhibit 71 and since then the petition and his advocate absent. It is submitted that there is no instruction from the petitioner and will taken necessary steps. It is also submitted by the learned advocate that it was intimated by way of RPAD on 17.07.2017. Even, thereafter, the advocate for withdrawal of Vakalatnama and get discharge and next date was given on 21.08.2017 vide Exhibit 71 and 72 the pursis that no instruction pursis filed.
2. Since then several chances were given to the petitioner, but the petitioner did not turn up, in spite of matter was kept for dismissal order till today. Since till 4.30 p. m., no one appeared. Hence, it appears that petitioner is not interested in proceeding the matter . Hence, pass following order.

ORDER

The petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Kolhapur.
Dt.28/11/2017.

Sd/-
(Pramod M.Nagalkar.)
Member, M.A.C.T., Kolhapur.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment/Order are same, word to word, as per the original Judgment/Order.

Name of the Stenographer	N.D.Kumbhar.
Name of Court	Ad hoc District Judge-3, Kolhapur.
Date of Dictation	21/06/2017
Order signed by the P.O. on	22/06/2017
Order uploaded on	22/6/2017