

MHKO010024442026

Cri.Bail. Application No.600/2026Order below Exh.1

Applicant Chandrakant Maruti Shinde Wadar has filed this regular bail application under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. He and others are arrayed as an accused in Crime No.115/2026 registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3) and 340(2) r/w.3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (corresponding to Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 read with 34 of I.P.C.) at police station Panhala, Dist.Kolhapur on 22-05-2026.

02. Application is opposed by the prosecution by submitting say.

03. Heard both the sides. Perused the record.

04. Perused the application, supporting affidavit submitted by the learned advocate for the applicant, showing case details, custody and procedural compliance, status of the trial, criminal antecedents, previous bail applications and coercive processes as per directions in Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P. and others Criminal Appeal No.825 of 2026, arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 12669 of 2025, decided by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 11-02-2026.

05. It is the case of the prosecution against the

applicant and other accused that they all hatched a conspiracy and obtained loan of Rs.3,80,400/- from Uday Sahakari Sanstha Porle tarfe Thane. The information of the incident was reported to the police on 22-05-2026 and applicant came to be arrested.

06. During the course of investigation it found that on 13-01-2022, 17-01-2022 and 22-01-2022 the applicant hypothecated gold ornaments and obtained loan of Rs.1,59,900/-, Rs.1,48,000/- and Rs.72,500/- respectively. After verification of the ornaments through the valuator, it found that all the ornaments were fake and the applicant cheated the bank and thereby obtained the loan. The applicant is the beneficiary of the loan. Thus, prima facie there is reason to believe that the applicant since inception had an intention to cheat the financial institute. The applicant has criminal antecedents. Previously he has committed serious body offences. If the applicant is released on bail, there is every possibility of him to tamper with the evidence. No case is made out by the applicant to get relief of pre-arrest bail. In the result, following order is passed -

ORDER

Application stands rejected.

(D.V.Kashyap)

Date: 04/07/2026

Additional Sessions Judge, Kolhapur.