

MHKO010024222026



Order below Exh.4 in Cri. Bail Application No. 598 of 2026

(Vishvaj Yogesh Mehta and Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra)

1] Heard Advocate for applicants. Perused FIR and documents. Vide C.R.No. 102/2026 dt. 10/3/2026 for the offences p/u/s/ 115 (2), 3(5), 85, 351(2) and 352 of BNS, there are allegations that applicants No.1 and 2 being husband and mother-in-law of first informant, they mentally, physically harassed, ill-treated first informant since August 2012 to October 2025. They demanded first informant Rs.1.5 Crore for purchasing flat, abused, insulted and threatened her etc. According to applicants, they are falsely implicated, with mala fide intention. There is inordinate delay in lodging report. There is matrimonial dispute and in respect of taking divorce by mutual consent, first informant prepared draft and some of the conditions are not agreed to applicant No.1. So dispute arose and they are falsely involved.

2] Applicants filed on record copy of FIR, affidavit dt. 24/10/2025, E-mail dt. 1/4/2013, documents to show payment of fees etc. As discussed above FIR is dt. 10/3/2026 with allegations of ill-treatment of first informant by applicants No.1 and 2. There is nothing on record to show that applicants yet received any notice from police. Therefore considering nature of offence it is necessary to give opportunity of hearing to Investigating Officer and APP. It is not proper

2 Cri. Bail Application No. 598 of 2026

to grant ad interim relief. Hence ad interim protection is refused.
Hence issue notice to I.O., APP R/o. 25/6/2026.

Date : 22.06.2026

(Smt. R.V. Adone)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Kolhapur.

Certificate

“ I affirm that the contents of the P.D.F. File order are same word for word as per original order.

Sd/-

[A.A.Patil]
(Stenographer G-1)