

MHKO010010222026.



**In the Sessions Court, Kolhapur, at Kolhapur**

(Presided over by R. V. Utpat, Additional Sessions Judge, Kolhapur)

**Anticipatory Bail Appln. No.240/2026**

**Sanyogita Pratap Shinde                   .....                   Applicant-Accused.**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra                   .....                   Opponent.  
Through Karveer P S.**

=====

**Appearance:-**

Ld. Adv. Mr. J. P Naik, for the Applicant.

Ld. APP Smt. A. A. Patole, for the State

=====

**Order below Exh. 1**

1.           The applicant is seeking bail in anticipation of her arrest in C. R. No.135/2026 of the Karveer police station registered for the offence punishable under sections 132, 121(1), 118(1), 352, 351(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and section 115/117 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

2.           The applicant has contended that, she has been falsely implicated, there is absolutely no evidence against her and at the time of the incident some unknown persons were trying to shoot video of her and her friends, which she objected and there was exchange of words. But, the concerned police instead of taking cognizance of her complaint dragged her to the police station. She

has further contended that, she is carrier orientated student, she has completed B. Sc in Microbiology and she is pursuing her M.Sc. She has further contended that, she is doing her first year in M. Sc in the field of Stemcell and Regenerative Medicine through D. Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur. She is also active in extra curricular activities. She has also contended that, few years back, she was under mental stress and depression and was under psychiatric treatment. She is permanent resident of Kolhapur having immovable property and she is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed.

3. The application is resisted by the State on the grounds that, the offence is grave and electronic evidence is available showing that, the applicant was quarreling with the people under influence of liquor and abusing them. Furthermore, there is possibility that, the applicant may pressurize witnesses or tamper with the evidence.

4. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant vehemently submitted that, since 2018 the applicant was under psychiatric treatment. He further submitted that, the applicant and she is having good academic carrier. He then submitted that, the incident took place on the festival day of '*Rangapanchami*' and some people were trying to make video of the applicant and her friend and as the applicant objected to it, quarrel took place. He further submitted that, the applicant got panic due to that but, instead of taking cognizance of her complaint, the police registered crime against her. He further submitted that, the alleged offence does not provide for death penalty or life imprisonment, there are no criminal antecedents of

the applicant, nothing is to be recovered from her and the applicant is pursuing higher studies, therefore, this is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail.

5. Per contra, the Ld. APP submitted that, there is a video in circulation showing that, the applicant and her friend were abusing and quarreling with people under influence of some intoxicant. Not only that, the applicant deterred the concerned lady police officer and caused hurt to her by biting on her hand as well as abusing her in filthy language and therefore granting anticipatory bail would send wrong signals in the society.

6. I have given thoughtful consideration to the rival submissions.

7. The FIR is lodged by Lady police constable Rubina Patel stating that, on 08/03/2026 while she was on duty, she got '112' call therefore, she started proceeding to R. K. Naga by a government vehicle. At about 4.45 p.m, they reached near Morewadi, Kolhapur and at that time some ladies and gents asked to stop the vehicle and told that, one boy and a girl were making commotions since long and they were abusing in filthy language. Therefore, the informant went near the said boy and girl and asked them as to why they were making commotion. On that, the girl came to her, caught her left hand and took bite of her hand. Thereafter, she scratched below the right eye of the informant and stated to the informant "तू कोण ग पोलीस, इथं कशाला आली, थांब तुला दाखवते " and caught hold of her collar and started abusing her. When the informant tried to catch her, she pushed her. Then the said girl also abused one Arti Sankpal,

R/o Korenagar and her son Sarthak Sankpal and threatened them. Thereafter, ASI Shri. Ranjeet Desai asked the said boy about his name and address and he disclosed his name as 'Swapnil Bhauso Patil', r/o: Sulkod, Tal-Kagal. Thereafter, said boy fled away and the informant came to know about the name of the said girl as 'Sanyogita Pratap Shinde' (applicant) from the people gathered there. Accordingly, the crime came to be registered.

8. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant has placed on record certain medical prescriptions, but these prescriptions are not sufficient for drawing any inference that, the applicant was having mental issues at the time of incident of such nature that, she was not aware of the consequences of her act. The Ld. Advocate has also placed on record certain certificates and documents in respect of academic and extra curricular activities of the applicant. But, at the stage of deciding the anticipatory bail application, those documents are of very little consequence. The offence involves assault or use of criminal force to deter public servant from discharging his duty. It is alleged that, the applicant not only deterred the informant, but also bit on her hand and scratched below her eye. Furthermore, she stated that, "तु कोण ग पोलीस, इथं कशाला आली, थांब तुला दाखवते" which makes it clear that, she was aware that the informant is a police officer and yet she abused and threatened her. It is also alleged that, prior to the said incident, the applicant and her friend were making commotions at public place and abusing the people in filthy language which was recorded on mobile phone camera by some persons. The incident as alleged in the FIR shows that, the applicant has no fear of law. Considering the nature of the offence and stage of the investigation, I do not deem it fit to grant

anticipatory bail. The application is liable to be rejected. Hence, I pass the following order:-

**Order**

1)	Application is rejected.
2)	Since the application is filed online, separate physical copy of this order is not maintained. All concerned to act upon digitally signed copy of this order uploaded online.

Date : 13/03/2026.

( R. V. Utpat. )  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Kolhapur.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of the Stenographer	Mrs.S.R.Tambvekar
Name of Court	Mr. R. V. Utpat Additional Sessions Judge, Kolhapur.
Date of Dictation	13/03/2026
Order signed by the P.O. on	17/03/2026
Order uploaded on	17/03/2026