

Spl.C.S No.86/2012
Ramnivas vs. Pushpadevi & ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH.127

The plaintiff moved present application under Order VI Rule 17 of C.P.C. and prayed for amendment in plaint by incorporating new facts developed during pendency of suit. Defendants failed to file say from 10/7/2024 hence application has been proceeded without their say. Heard learned advocate for plaintiff.

2. The plaintiff has filed order of DySLR and DSLR of year 2021 and 2023 respectively. Those orders shows that mutations in the name of purchasers came to be cancelled in property cards. Copies of property cards also filed by plaintiff. This fact appears new development during pendency of suit and touching to the merit of present suit, therefore needs to be incorporate in plaint. The nature of suit is not going to change nor trial is commenced. Hence I have no hesitation to allow the present application. Hence, following order.

ORDER

1. The application (Exh.127) is allowed in view of Order VI Rule 17 of CPC.
2. Plaintiff to carry amendment accordingly.

Date : 07.08.2024

(Dr. Vikas G. Karmore)
4th Jt. Civil Judge S. D. Jalna.