

... 1 ...

**BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COURT, MAHARASHTRA,
(AMRAVATI BENCH), A M R A V A T I.**

Comp. (ULP) No.104/2016.

Rajendra Kisangir Giri and others

----- Versus -----

Deputy Director of Social Forestry, Amravati and other.

ORDER BELOW EXH.U-17
(Delivered On 24.09.2024)

01] This is an application filed by the complainant for amendment of complaint. Read the application. Heard Ld. Advocate for the complainant. Respondent has not filed say despite receipt of the copy of this application. Today also respondent and its advocate are absent when called time and again. On going through the application, it appears that complainant wants to add Chief Conservator of Forest and Secretary Revenue Department, Mantralay, Mumbai as they are financial benefit sanctioning authorities and to amend the complaint to that effect. Proposed amendment is formal and does not change the nature of the complaint. If it is allowed no prejudice would be caused to the respondent and helpful to avoid further multiplicity of the proceeding.

02] Considering all these factors and in the interest of

... 2 ...

... 2 ...

justice I pass following order.

ORDER

- 01] Application is allowed as under-
- 02] Permission is granted to amend the complaint as prayed for.
- 03] The complainant to amend the complaint within 40 days from the date of this order.

Date : 24.09.2024.
Amravati.

Sd/-
(H.A. Ali)
Member,
Industrial Court, Amravati.

... ..