

--7--

Cross-examination on S.A. by Ld. Advocate Shri. A.A. Kawre for Applicant:

10] It is correct to say that, prohibitory order was issued to the applicant on the basis of C-19 dated 03/06/1993 and 10/01/2013 also on the basis of D-19 29/10/2003, 24/08/2006, 30/04/2007, 23/01/2011. Now I am shown document produced at Exh.4 Sr.No.9 and asked the question whether the applicant has paid amount of Rs.4917/- on dated 14/09/2013 in pursuance of C-19 dt.10/01/2013. Witness deposed that, the amount deposited by applicant in pursuance C-19 dt.10/01/2013. Witness voluntarily states that, though the applicant has paid amount of Rs.4917/- but that amount not involved penalty, interest and damages[Witness admitted receipt dated 14/09/2013 therefore said receipt is marked Exh.92]. It is correct to say that, after depositing above mentioned amount prohibitory order was passed. It is correct to say that, in the prohibitory order it was mentioned that the amount of Rs.4,917/- was deposited by applicant. Now I am shown document produced at Exh.66 to 73 and witness was asked question the applicant has deposited amount of Rs.30,000/- on dated 06/03/2004, 13/05/2004, 25/05/2004, 17/08/2004 against C-19 dt.03/06/1993[two notices]. Witness deposed that, it might have been deposited by applicant but it cannot be said that said amount is deposited against C-19 dt.3/6/1993 [two notices] because in the receipt no period is mentioned.

On oral request of Ld. Advocate for applicant cross-examination is adjourned.

R.O.A.C.

Witness is discharged.

Before me

Place: Aurangabad**Date:-06/03/2026**

kad/-

sd/-

(S. D. SURYAWANSHI)**JUDGE,****ESI COURT, AURANGABAD**