

Complaint (ULP) No.- 134 of 2007**Exh. UW-2****Further Examination-in-Chief is resumed on Fresh Oath :-****Examination-in-Chief of Witness *Shri. Bhaurao Ranu Tribhvan*,
by Advocate *Shri. S. K. Killedar*, for Complainant :-**

15. Affidavit Exh.- UW-2 is drafted by my Counsel, as per my instructions. Its Contents are True and Correct. It bears my Signature.

Chief-Examination is Over :-**Cross Examination by Advocate Shri. N. B. Bhole, for Respondent :-**

16. It is true to say that, in earlier Three Applications at Exh. UB-2, Exh. UA-4 and Exh. U-57, my name is not there. My name is only in the Application at Exh. U-63, at Sr. No. 26. It is true to say that, my name is also not there, in the Writ Petition No. 2843 of 2023, filed before Hon'ble Bombay High Court, by *Mr. Tulsiram Maruti Ghegadmal* and Others, in which the Order dated 09-07-2024, is passed.

17. It is not true to say that, Application Exh. U-63 should have been filed only for 44 candidates, as are named in Writ

Petition No. 2843 of 2023, filed before Hon'ble Bombay High Court, by *Mr. Tulsiram Maruti Ghedmal* and Others. It is not true to say that, my name and name of other Workers, in addition to 44 Candidates, is wrongly mentioned, in the Application at Exh. U-63. It is not true to say that, I am not entitled to get the benefits of Order dated 05-02-2024, in the Writ Petition No. 10225 of 2017, and also not entitled for the benefits of Order dated 09-07-2024, in the Writ Petition No. 7060 of 2010. It is not true to say that, since my name is not there, in any of the Orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court, I am not entitled to get the benefits of as are claimed before this Court.

18. It is not true to say that, the contents of my Evidence Affidavit at Exh. UW-2, are false. It is not true to say that, I am deposing false.

Cross-Examination Over :-

Re-Examination :- Nil.

R.O.A.C.

Sd/-

Date :
16-08-2024.
Place : Thane
SPC/-

(S. Z. Sonbhadre)
Member
Industrial Court, Thane