

**BEFORE SMT. A. C. RAUT, MEMBER,**  
**INDUSTRIAL COURT, MAHARASHTRA AT MUMBAI**

**REVISION APPLICATION (ULP) No. 28 OF 2022**  
**[ CNR NO : MHICO1-000985-2022 ]**

Azmat Ali Shaikh ... **Applicant**

-: **Versus** :-

Hotel Grand Hyatt Mumbai & Ors. ... **Opponents**

**-: Order Below Exh. CA-3 :-**  
**( Dated :- 30.01.2026 )**

1. This application is filed by the Opponent No. 4, 5 & 6 for deleting the name of the Opponent No. 7 from the Revision. It is the contention of the Opponent No. 4 to 6 that the Opponent No. 7 is not at all in the employment of the Opponent No. 4 to 6 and has already resigned. Hence, he is not necessary and relevant party in the present matter and therefore, they prayed to delete the name of the opponent no. 7 from the revision.

2. The applicant has filed reply at Exh.U-8 and submitted that the opponent no. 4 to 6 submitted an application for deleting the name of opponent no. 7 without holding any authority. Opponent No. 7 can be lead as one of the prima facie witness to the case in further stage of this pending matter as previously the opponent no. 7 was the Unit Head of the

Opponent No. 1 and therefore, he is necessary party in the present case, and therefore, his name cannot be deleted.

3. Heard both sides. The present matter is filed challenging the Judgment and Order dated 24.02.2020 passed by the Ld. 6<sup>th</sup> Labour Court, Mumbai in Condonation of Delay Application (ULP) No. 44 of 2018. The Opponent No. 7 was made party in original proceedings before the Labour Court. Therefore, at this stage, I do not find that the question of deleting the name should be considered. Hence, application is rejected. In the result, following order is passed.

**-: Order :-**

Application Exh. CA-3 is hereby rejected.

Place :- Mumbai

(Smt. A. C. Raut),

Member,

Date :- 30.01.2026

Industrial Court, Mumbai.

SPC/-