

**BEFORE SHRI S.G. THUBE, MEMBER,**  
**INDUSTRIAL COURT, MUMBAI**  
**COMPLAINT (ULP) No. 66 OF 2018**  
**(MHICO1-000159-2018)**

M/s. BSES MG Hospital

... Complainant

**Versus**

Maharashtra Navnirman Kamgar Sena

... Respondent

**Coram:-**

Shri S.G. Thube, Member.

**Appearances:-**

Smt Megha Hedavkar Ld. Advocate  
for Complainant.

Shri. Vinod Shetty , Ld. Advocate for the  
Respondents.

**ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT C-76**

( 17.02.2025 )

**01.** Perused the application and say filed by respondent union. This application is filed by complainant Hospital for adjournment on the ground mention in application. On the other hand, respondent union objected this application strongly on the grounds mention in their say and prays for rejection of application and closing the evidence of complainant Hospital.

**02.** Thus, considering the application and say of respondent union. As well as carefully gone through the roznama of this proceeding. It appears that, in the say of respondent union having strong substance and shows that, complainant Hospital prolonging this matter time to time by submitting different ground. So also, in today's adjournment application submitted, whatever ground in support of that, ground not filed any document about alleged medical emergency. It is fact that, this matter is old i.e more than 5 year old and in this matter since long issues are framed. As well as, complainant hospital, time to time seek adjournment.

**03.** Thus, considering the conduct of the complainant hospital and considering that, this matter is more than 5 year old. Hence, oral evidence of the witness of the complainant hospital is discarded, i.e. not read in evidence. As well as, by rejecting this application, this matter is posted for further evidence of complainant Hospital. Thus, the application vide Exh.C-76, is hereby rejected.

Date:-17.02.2025

Sd/-  
(S.G. THUBE)  
Member,  
Industrial Court, Mumbai

*Rahul Gejage.*