

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, GONDIA.****SESSIONS CASE NO.71/2020.**  
**CNR No.:MHGO010007262020.****STATE OF MAH. VS. ANKIT BIRANWAR & ORS.****ORDER BELOW EXH.181.**(Passed on this 22<sup>nd</sup> October, 2024)

Today, accused No.4 is not produced by Jail Authority. Learned advocate for accused No.1, 2 and 4 filed application at Exh.178 and sought permission to cross-examine the witness Rishabh (PW-9) in absence of accused No.4 Gajendra. Then after, examination-in-chief of Rishabh (PW-9) is recorded. After completion of examination-in-chief of Rishabh, Learned advocate for accused No.1, 2 and 4 filed present adjournment application.

2] Necessary to mention here that, present case is time bound by the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Nagpur. Even this Court is directed by the Hon'ble High Court to send the monthly progress and liberty is given to accused No.1 to approach to the Hon'ble High Court after two months if there is no progress in the present case. At one hand Learned advocate for accused No.1 is approaching to the Hon'ble High Court mentioning that there is no progress in evidence and on another hand since last few days, Learned advocate for accused No.1 is not attending the Court knowing that present case is time bound and progress report is sought by the

Hon'ble High Court from time to time. This double standard cannot be accepted. To my mind, the reason mentioned in application is not at all satisfactory. Application is devoid of merit and resulted into its rejection. Hence, application stands rejected.

Sd/-

Gondia.

Date:22/10/2024.

(N. D. Khose)

Addl. Sessions Judge, **Gondia.**