



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, GONDIA**  
**S.T. NO. 40/2025**  
**(CNR No.MHGO010005252025)**  
**STATE OF MAH. VS PRANAVKUMAR**

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 06.**

(Passed on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2026)

1. Perused the application, say of APP, I.O. Heard Ld. Advocate for applicant through video call and learned APP Chute. Applicant has made application for release of seized Mobile of Vivo V-23 brand of silver colour having IMEI No.861362053194736 in Crime No.429/2024 registered with police station Duggipar on suprutnama.

2. It is contention of applicant that he had purchased said mobile from Mobile Bazar and Electronic Stores Bhandara on 20-10-2022. He is absolute owner and holder of said mobile handset. The said mobile handset is not required in any further investigation as charge-sheet is already filed before the court. He submitted that he will produce said mobile handset as and when required by court and will abide condition which will be imposed by this court. Therefore, he requested for release of seized mobile on suprutnama.

3. I.O filed say vide Exh.7 and opposed application on the ground that seized mobile was used by the applicant while driving the ST Bus MH-09-EM-1273. Said mobile was sent to FSL Nagpur for examination and C.A report is yet not received. In case of release of mobile on suprutnama then applicant will not produce the same as and when required by the court. Accordingly, I.O requested to reject application.

4. Ld. APP Shri Chute filed say vide Exh.8 and opposed the application on the count that mobile sought by the applicant has been sent to C.A for examination and same is yet to be received. Therefore, requested to reject application.

5. No doubt aforesaid mobile is seized by I.O from applicant/accused in present crime No.429/2024. It is the case of prosecution that applicant was using the said mobile while driving ST bus at the time of alleged incident and being evidence of crime it was seized from applicant. As per say of I.O seized mobile has been sent to FSL Nagpur for examination and same is alongwith C.A report yet not received. Upon getting C.A report it can be ascertained as to whether seized mobile was used while driving ST bus at the time of incident by the applicant and whether it contain any evidence in relation to present crime. So at this stage request of applicant for release of mobile phone is pre-mature.

Moreso he has not filed any invoice of said mobile to show that he had purchased it from Electronic Stores Bhandara. At this stage in view of observation made earlier it is not desirable to release seized mobile on suprutnama. However, applicant is at liberty to make application for release of mobile on suprutnama after receipt of C.A report if so advice. Hence, this order.

**ORDER**

- 1] Application Exh.6 stands rejected.
- 2] Liberty is granted to applicant to file fresh application for release of mobile on suprutnama after receipt of C.A report in relation to said mobile, if so advice.
- 3] Accordingly application stands disposed of.

Gondia.  
Dated: 12-05-2026.

( M. T. Asim)  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
**Gondia.**