



IN THE COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISION, KURKHEDA

(Presided Over by N.P. Deshpande)

Regular Civil Suit No.03/2024

PLAINTIFF :- Smt. Kamalbai Hariram Parshuramkar,
Age : 84 Years., Occ.:- Housework,
R/o.- Andhali, Tah- Kurkheda,
District – Gadchiroli

-VERSUS-

DEFENDANTS :- Administrator/President,
Kamala Neharu Girls Hostel,
through Smt. Suvarna Dilip Koche,
Age : 58 Years., Occ.:- Service,
Tah- Kurkheda, District – Gadchiroli

Ld. Advocate Mr. A.P. Nakade – for Plaintiff

Ld. Advocate Mr. G.M. Nagmoti – for Defendant

ORDER BELOW EXH.61

(Passed on 21.02.2026)

Present application is filed by the defendant to seek further time for the argument on the ground that defendant is celebrating the marriage ceremony of her relative. Today due to marriage ceremony defendant could not attend the Court. Therefore, Learned Advocate for the defendant prayed to allow the application and extend the time for the argument. Say of the plaintiff was called plaintiff resisted the application.

2. Heard Learned Advocate Shri. G.M. Nagmoti and Learned Advocate Shri A.P. Nakade appearing for plaintiff.

3. Record shows that defendant closed its evidence vide pursis Exh.59 on 31.01.2026 and as per choice, date of argument was kept for 13.02.2026. It is pertinent to note that Advocate Nikhil Walesha is the

advocate conducting the defence of the defendant. However, on 13.02.2026 defendant and its advocate are absent and they filed adjournment application Exh.60 which is granted by this Court and today on 21.02.2026 the matter was kept for evidence of both the parties. The suit is filed by the plaintiff Kamalabai Parshuramkar, senior citizen aged 84 years. Today, Learned Advocate Nikhil Walesha is also absent. Learned Advocate Shri. G.M. Nagmoti orally told that he will not argue the suit and Advocate Nikhil Walesha will argue the suit. Therefore, it appears that casually on the ground that marriage ceremony of defendant, any how both the advocates are prolonging the argument of the present suit. Already sufficient opportunity is given to the defendant for its argument. Hence, it would not be proper to extend the time. Hence, I pass following order:

ORDER

1. Application Exh.61 time for argument is hereby rejected.

Date: 21.02.2026.
Place : Kurkheda.

(N.P. Deshpande)
Civil Judge Junior Division,
Kurkheda.