

and conditions as may be imposed by the Court. Lastly, he prayed to release the accused on bail.

4. *Per contra*, Ld. APP Shri. D. V. Donadkar representing the State has opposed the present application. He urged that there is no change in circumstances. Earlier bail application have already been rejected. The case is fixed for final arguments. The learned counsel for the accused no. 1 and 2 has the opportunities to advance his final arguments by taking the case on board. If the accused is released on bail, the possibility of them fleeing away cannot be ruled out. Hence, their liberty needs to be curtailed by way of rejecting the application.

5. Perused the record. Considered the submissions of Ld. Adv. for the accused & Ld. APP for the State.

6. Accused are arraigned for the offence punishable under section 9, 27, 29, 39, 44, 48, 50, 51 and 52 of Wild Life Protection Act, 1972 and section 26(1) of the Indian Forest Act, 1927. It is a serious offence. On perusal of record, the case is fixed for final arguments. Merely because the case is progressing regularly and kept for final arguments, it cannot be said that there are change in circumstances. The change in circumstances must be substantial and not cosmetic changes. Also, the learned counsel for the accused has every opportunities to advance his final arguments by taking the case on board. If they are released on bail, the possibility that they will flee away cannot be ruled out. There is substance in the submission of learned A.P.P. for the State. In view of above discussion, the application is rejected.

Place: Chamorshi
Date: 17.03.2026

Sd/-
(S.A.Bhaisare)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Chamorshi