



**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST CLASS,**  
**ARMORI, DIST. GADCHIROLI**  
(Presided Over by Smt. V. V. Choudhari)

**R.C.C. No.39/2017**

State of Maharashtra,  
Through P.S.O.,  
Police Station, Armori

... **Prosecution**

**VERSUS**

- 1] **Suraj Anil Bhandekar,**  
Age 25 years, Occ. Cultivation,  
R/o. Gilgaon, Th. Chamorshi,  
Dist. Gadchiroli
- 2] **Mohsin Ali Usuf Ali,**  
Age 26 years, Occ. Labour,  
R/o. Chamorishi Road, Gadchiroli,  
Th. Dist. Gadchiroli
- 3] **Mujaffar Sheikh Abdul Wahid Sheikh,**  
Age 26 years, Occ. Pan Kiosk,  
R/o. Fule Ward, Gadchiroli,  
Th. Dist. Gadchiroli
- 4] **Sachin Ishwar Donadkar,**  
Age 23 years, Occ. Education,  
R/o. Gawarla Chak, Post Junagad,  
Th. Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur

... **Accused**

---

**ORDER BELOW EXH.75**  
(Passed on 01<sup>st</sup> November, 2025)

Read the application and say. By filing present application, accused No.1, 3, 4 has prayed for separation of trial from accused No.2. APP has not given his say. Heard counsel for accused and perused the record.

2] Record reveals that the charge sheet has been filed in year 2017. Since long time accused No.2 is consistently absent. The trial of accused No.1, 3, 4 cannot be kept at halt due to non-appearance of accused No.2. There will be no prejudice to accused No.2, if the trial of the accused No.1, 3, 4 is separated from accused No.2. It is well settled principle of law that speedy trial is the right of the accused. Hence, I am inclined to allow the application. In the result, I pass the following order:

**ORDER**

1. Application (Exh.75) is allowed.
2. The trial of accused No.1, 3, 4 is separated by virtue of Section 317 sub-section 2 of Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 355(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Date:01.11.2025

(Smt. Vaishali V. Choudhari)  
Judicial Magistrate First Class,  
Armori.