

MHGA010008202025



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, GADCHIROLI

(Presided over by Shri V. S. Khot)

Spl. POCSO Case No.61/2025

State Vs Samir

Sanjay Chhaban Chaudhari

Aged 44 years, Occu. Private,

R/o. Chorgaon,

Tah. & Dist. Chandrapur

through power of attorney

holder **Shri Praful Ravindra Gedam**

Aged 26 years, Occu. Labour,

R/o. Nilsani Petgaon,

Tah. Saoli , Dist. Chandrapur

.... **Applicant**

...Versus...

State of Maharashtra

Through Police Station, Chamorshi

PHC,Ghot,

Tah. Chamorshi, Dist. Gadchiroli

.... **Non-applicant**

Order Below Exh.21

(Passed on : 09th December, 2025)

1] This application is filed for grant of supratnama under the provisions of 503 of BNSS, 2023.

2] The applicant contended that he is a registered owner of the two wheeler vehicle bearing no. MH/34/AT-6861. The said vehicle

came to be seized by the P. S. Chamorshi, (AOP)Police Help Center, Ghot in connection with crime no. 355/2025 registered u/s. 4, 8 of POCSO and Sec. 137,64(2)(I),65(1) of BNS and the vehicle is lying in the Police Station Chamorshi,(AOP) Ghot in open to sky position. The applicant is having no concern with the crime. The applicant required the vehicle for day to day work. The vehicle can be deteriorated if detained at Police Station. The applicant wants to take the vehicle on Supratnama. He is ready to follow the conditions imposed by the court. The applicant prayed for Supratnama.

3] Say of the other side came to be invited. The learned APP submitted that the application is opposed and it be rejected. The applicant is not entitled to take it on supratnama.

4] In view of rival contentions of the parties, following points arise for my determination and I have recorded my findings subject to the reasons mentioned hereinafter.

Sr.N o.	Points	Findings
1.	Whether the applicant is entitled for releasing the vehicle on supratnama ?	... Yes
2.	What Order ?	... As per final order

Reasons

5] As to point nos. 1 and 2 :-

In this case, the applicant is claiming Supratnama on the basis of ownership. The applicant is having registration of vehicle in his name. The perusal of the pleadings and contentions of the parties make it clear that the applicant being the owner is entitled of the custody of the vehicle. No purpose would be served by detaining the vehicle at the Police Station. The vehicle would be deteriorated if not

released on Supratnama. In view of abovesaid reasons my answer to point no.1 is in affirmative and I am inclined to pass following order resulting in grant of supratnama application subject to conditions.

O R D E R

1. The application is hereby allowed.
2. The vehicle bearing no. MH/34/AT-6861 of Hero Delux company be released on supratnama of Rs. 1,00,000/-.
3. The applicant to furnish supratnama bond of Rs.1,00,000/-.
4. The applicant shall not dispose of the vehicle or cause any changes in the vehicle.
5. The applicant shall not use or allowed to use the vehicle in any other criminal activity.
6. The applicant shall produce the vehicle as and when required by this Court or any other Court/authority.
7. The Investigating Officer shall draw the panchanama at the time of handing over the vehicle. The recent photographs of the vehicle be taken at the cost of applicant.
8. This application stands disposed of and be annexed to Charge-sheet.

sd/-

Gadchiroli
09rd December, 2025

(Vishwas S. Khot)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Gadchiroli