


 MHCO010005522023	<u>D and II/479/2023</u> <u>Sunil Maruti Parab Vs. Vidyadhar Dalvi & Ors.</u> <u>Order Below Exh. 1</u>
---	---

On perusal of the record and the order passed on Exh.39. It appears that as per the order dated 25.06.2025 my Ld. Predecessor allowed the Disputant to conduct the proceedings through Video Conferencing. It is pertinent to note that Disputant filed an application to allow him to appear through Video Conferencing; hence, as per order passed below Exh. 39, my Ld. Predecessor allowed his application in view of his prayer. It also came to my notice that disputant joined video conference through his private E-mail ID. It is to be noted that, as per Video Conferencing rules, the disputant has to join Video Conferencing from remote point. Therefore, it is directed to the disputant that he has to join court proceedings from remote point, i.e. the District and Sessions Court Shivajinagar, Pune. It is to be noted that disputant has to file his written applications or written arguments through physical or E-filing or shall be sent to the court point via an authorized courier/registered speed post.

2. It also came to my notice that all parties joined video conferencing through their private E-mail IDs. It is to be noted that, in the said order, nowhere is mentioned that all parties should join through video conferencing. Therefore, it is directed to other parties that if they also want to conduct their proceedings through Video Conferencing then they have to file proper application in that respect.

3. It is also directed to the concerned clerk; henceforth, the link of the Video Conference will be sent to the remote point only. Disputant shall take note that henceforth the link will not be sent to his personal email ID. All parties to take note of this order. Hence the order.

Place: Mumbai
Date :18/07/2026


(M. J. Gaikwad)
Judge
Co-Operative Court No.1,
Mumbai