

Order Below Amended.
Application in CC/7/125/2
dtd. 27.10.25 by dispute

1. Received the application
Opp. failed to file say.
Hence no say order
is passed against Opp.

2. Adv for disputant
alleged that proposed
amendment is part of
dispute & occurred
during pendency of
dispute. Yet evidence
to be filed. Hence
prayed to allow this
application.

3. Adv for disputant
replied on case name
Ameera Bee Vs. Abdul
Tabbar & Medical Vs
M/s Candula Healthcare
Pvt. Ltd.

4. Ad. Ad. for Opponent
advised that no reason
is mentioned for filing
amendment application.
If every 6 months
amount is going to be
increased. Hence there
is no point to ask for
amendment. Disputant
not diligently submitted
this application. Hence
prayed to reject this
application.

4. Received the application
Disputant by proposed
amendment want to
add the bill in respect
of parking charges
issued by the Opponent
Society in the month
of August 2025 &
demands by Opponent
Society towards parking

(2)

charges. The dispute is
tied in relation to
challenge pending charges
decided by the society.


By proposed amendment
disputant is placing
the facts related to
the same issue
which took place
during the pendency
of dispute.

5. The proposed amendment is not going to change nature of dispute & does not require while deciding the dispute. Yet issues are not framed. So there is also no bar of proviso clause of O. 6, R. 17 of CPC.

to this present application.
Resultantly, I decided
to pass following order:

ORDER

1. Application is
allowed.
2. Disputant is directed
to carry out amend-
ment ~~to~~ on next
date.



4.5.26

[S.V. Melil-Patil]
Judge, Cooperative
Court No. 1,
Mumbai